

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/971,717	10/04/2001	David Ian Houlding	92717-319	3038	
7590 09/12/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Gary B. Solomon Jenkens & Gilchrist, P.C.			SHIFERAW	SHIFERAW, ELENI A	
3200 Fountain Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1445 Ross Avenue			2136	2136	
Dallas, TX 75202-2799			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·			
Advisory Action	09/971,717	HOULDING, DAVID IAN				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eleni A. Shiferaw	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		•			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	•	ducina or simplifyina	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		timaly filed emenders	ant cancaling the			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	iowabie ii submitted in a separate,	untely filed amendme	an canceing the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,15-22 and 24-26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14 and 23</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. 🗵 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No/a)					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(F 10/30/00) Papel NO(S).					
NASSER MOAZZAMI						
PRIMARY EXAMINER						
9,8,06	•					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: New claim limitations of claims 1, 19, and 26 wherein "already loaded" would require further searching and consideration. Applicant argues, for all amended claims 1, 19, and 26, and non-amended claim 12, that the already loaded interactive software application in communication with the underlying architecture of the client device with out passing through the firewall. "Already loaded interactive software", as amended in claims 1, 19, and 26, is different from "stored interactive software" because one can execute an interactive software by loading with out storing the interactive software. Therefore the amended limitation "already loaded" for claims 1, 19, and 26 would require more searching and consideration. Applicant's argument regarding "already loaded" for claim 12 is not claimed and it is different from stored. Regarding claim 12, Cunningham discloses downloading real-time data to client computing system memory storage via client firewall as shown on fig. 2 element 21, from the server based on client request (see fig. 4), and the application received and displayed is in communication with the client underlying architecture system (0010-0013), and also Cunningham discloses the well-known blocking certain ports technique on the system of firewall security ... example: IRC port not being communicating with client underlying architecture, for instant messages (see 0003-0033).